

**Air Permitting/
Stars2 Call
02/21/2012
9:30 - 11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in 1-877-668-4490 Access code: 681 119 731

Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>Matt Stanfield - Toledo</p> <p>For consistency in setting emissions limitations, which of the following rolling annual emissions limitations (monthly basis) is Central Office's preferred format? The Permit Library of Terms and Conditions specifies the use of: tons per year, based upon a rolling, 12-month summation of the monthly emissions. The December 10, 2009 SB 265 BAT memo specifies: tons per rolling, 12-month period.</p>	Mike Hopkins/ Andrew Hall
2	<p>Dana Thompson – CO Permitting</p> <p>I've had the question addressed to me of whether OAC 3745-105 (pathological waste incinerators) governs the incineration of</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. household pets in a pet crematory; 2. research animals that have not been exposed to human pathogens. <p>I know what answer I want to give, viz.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the rule doesn't specifically exempt pet crematoria, but it does exempt veterinary clinics and animal shelters, and it's hard to see why a defunct pet should be treated differently. Therefore I think 3745-105 does not apply. 2) if a research animal has been deliberately exposed to a human pathogen then 3745-105 clearly applies, whether it's a whole or dissected carcass. Furthermore, they must fulfill notification and recordkeeping requirements in order to exempt out from 3745-75, the infectious waste incinerator standard and also the federal hospital/medical waste incinerator rules. But, if no human pathogen is involved, then the question comes down to whether whole carcasses or dissected parts are involved. The defining criterion is whether blood is present, since blood is an excellent culture medium for both human and animal pathogens. The answer I'd give is whole critters no, pieces of critter yes, to the question of 3745-105 applicability. <p>Before I give my answer I'd like to be sure it doesn't conflict with established precedent. Hence the need to discuss it with the Districts/locals.</p>	Mike Hopkins/ Andrew Hall

3	<p>Tim Fischer - NEDO</p> <p>At this point, although it is strongly encouraged for Title V and Synthetic Minor facilities to submit reports electronically, hard copy reports are still an option. One of the changes for the standard terms and conditions that has been under debate for years is requiring EVERYTHING of a Title V facility (unless extreme hardship) to be submitted electronically. We aren't there yet.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For malfunction reports at a Title V facility that come in hard copy, should we be uploading them into Stars2? 2. We are now uploading all PER reports into Stars2. Should we also be doing this for each quarterly and semiannual report we receive hard copy from NTV facilities? I thought we were but apparently some are not. 	Mike Ahern
4	<p><u>Stars2 Updates</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stars2 Demo for entering Emissions Tests. • Training videos available • Any other questions or demos needed on new Stars2 functionality? 	Linda Luksik