

**Air Permitting/  
Stars2 Call  
04/19/2012  
9:30 - 11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in 1-877-668-4490      Access code: 680 904 139

## Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>Bonnie Pray, SWOAQA</p> <p>SWOAQA has received inquiries from facilities that operate coal-fired boilers, crematories, industrial furnaces, and incinerators as to whether they can voluntarily assist local police departments with illegal drug destruction. Presently, OhioEPA has guidance for the Pharmaceutical Take-Back Program (dated October 2011), but this guidance specifically excludes illegal drugs. How should the DO/LAAs handle requests for destruction of illegal drugs? Is there an approval process the DO/LAAs should follow (perhaps similar to OhioEPA's Pharmaceutical Program approval process)? Would a term and condition in the air permit for an "approved" source be required?</p>	Mike Hopkins
2	<p>Matt Stanfield, TDES</p> <p>The recent Shelly decision identified the following.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Assignment of Error F</i> <i>The separate emissions limits for each portion of the process associated within the HMA Plant emissions unit is unreasonable, unlawful, and not in concert with other permits issued for HMA Plants and for single emissions units in other industry sectors.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">{¶217} <i>The Commission finds the Director's action in setting separate rolling 12-month emissions limits for the asphalt load out, asphalt silo, and cold end operations lacks a valid factual foundation and as such, was unreasonable. Accordingly, the Commission finds that Assignment of Error F is well-taken.</i></p> <p>Does Central Office require that we no longer assign different emissions limitations to portions of a process, or is Central Office only recommending that this be done for asphalt plants?</p>	Mike Hopkins
3	<p>Walk through processing a permitted NTV facility becoming exempt.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Revoke Active PTI, PTIO or State PTO (not registration)</li> <li>• Change the EU permit classification to exempt</li> <li>• Contact Elisa Thomas to mark facility as exempt, and correct emissions reporting.</li> </ul>	Erica Engel-Ishida
3	<p>Fee Emissions Report review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reporting 0 TPY vs. "less than reporting requirement"</li> <li>• What does Approve vs. Approved/Revision Requested mean?</li> </ul>	Erica Engel-Ishida
4	<p>Laura Miracle, ARAQMD</p> <p>What is the correct way of revising a Title V or SMTV fee emission report? There appears to be two ways to do this in Air Services.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Under the left column, selecting emissions report(s). Then selecting the report to be revised. Once the report is pull up, you can click on create revised report on the bottom of the page.</li> <li>2. Under new tasks, selecting fee emission report. Pulling down the year for the report (i.e., 2011) and checking copy existing report. Then selecting the report to copy.</li> </ol>	Erica Engel-Ishida
5	Changes in PIDM staff.	Mike Ahern

6	<p>Discussion &amp; Q/A session on where we are with electronic document management in DAPC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should we try to upload EVERYTHING into Stars2? The answer always seems to be yes.</li> <li>• How should file review requests be handled, can we have a generic user created that has read only access?</li> </ul>	Mike Ahern
7	<p>Tim Fischer, NEDO</p> <p>Uploading NOV's into Stars2 – How to classify them if they pertain to more than one topic? Can we add "NOV – Other"?</p>	Mike Ahern
8	Stars2/CETA Integration Progress Update (see attachment)	Linda Luksik