

**Air Permitting/  
Stars2 Call  
06/20/2013  
9:30 - 11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in 1-877-668-4490      Access code: 686 452 854

## Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>Mike Hopkins</p> <p><u>Asphalt Plants PM/PM10/PM25 and BAT</u></p> <p>The questions are:</p> <p>How should be establishing BAT for particulate for asphalt plants?</p> <p>Can we use PM for BAT under SB 265?</p> <p>What testing is required?</p> <p>Are there problems with using the PM10/PM2.5 test methods?</p> <p>If we can't use PM for BAT, then do we need both PM and PM10/PM2.5?</p> <p>Are the emission rates for PM10/PM2.5 less than the 10 ton BAT exemption?</p> <p>All of this is for discussion... no final decisions will likely be made.</p>	Mike Hopkins
2	<p>SEDO</p> <p>Engines subject to permitting can either get individual permits or apply for one of the engine GPs. Looking at the various engine GPs, it appears that the full MACT Subpart ZZZZ requirements have been incorporated, even for area sources. However, if we were to permit the same engines with individual permits, we would just include the term in the facility-wide section putting the permittee on notice that U.S. EPA, and not Ohio EPA, is authorized to enforce the area source rule. Based on earlier direction that we need to include the applicable requirements of any area source MACTs or GACT in PTIs and Title V permits because of the need to identify all applicable requirements, and once a permit is issued with those requirements, Ohio EPA will have accepted authorization for those rules for those facilities. So it would seem that Ohio EPA is accepting authorization for the area source rules for any engine covered by one of the current GPs. Is this this correct, and if so, are we not then required to evaluate compliance and participate in any emissions testing activities for the engines covered by these GPs?</p>	Mike Hopkins

3	<p>NWDO</p> <p>NSPS Subpart OOO Intents to Test and Method 9 VE Report submittals. NWDO has been requiring facilities to submit ITTs so that we are aware these Method 9 tests are going to be conducted. After the testing is completed, the company submits their report but don't review it and send out a stack test letter. Should we be uploading these ITTs and test reports to STARS2? What are other DO/Laas doing with these NSPS Subpart OOO submittals?</p> <p>Have you had any discussions with other DO/LAAs about this? Right now we are just sticking stuff in the files but we all know that practice can't go on forever...</p>	Erica Engel-Ishida
4	<p>Linda Luksik</p> <p>She's planning on giving training this summer on bulk annual FCE commitment scheduling. She still needs to know who should get this training from the offices below... please email her.</p> <p>Cleveland, CDO, Portsmouth, RAPCA and Akron</p>	Erica Engel-Ishida
5	<p>Stars2 and Other Updates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Version 2.1 planned on a 6/28 deploy date. Mostly bug fixes, a few enhancements.</li> <li>• PTIO Preliminary Completeness Letter revision deployed 6/12.</li> </ul>	Erica Engel-Ishida